

Innovation, entrepreneurship, and economic growth — a theory of synergism and dynamism in the case of Belarus

N.P. Chetyrbock¹

Abstract

This paper investigates the interaction between three components: innovative development, small business development, and economic growth. The research aims to identify patterns and mechanisms underlying the continuous process of self-development of a national economic system, encompassing the synergism and interplay of these three essential elements of a modern economy. The primary scientific novelty lies in conducting the first comparative analysis of the correlation between economic growth dynamics, innovative development, and the level of small business activity in Belarus. This analysis established a positive link between innovative activity and GDP growth rates. Furthermore, the study defines the role of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) as a stabilizing factor, providing economic flexibility and resilience during crises. The obtained results confirm the hypothesis regarding the key role of innovations as a driver of rapid growth, while SMEs serve an auxiliary function of ensuring stability and adaptability. The practical significance of the research includes developing recommendations for formulating effective state policies aimed at enhancing innovation capacity and supporting entrepreneurial activity, thereby ensuring balanced and sustainable economic growth in Belarus.

Keywords: innovative development, small and medium enterprises, entrepreneurship, economic growth, integrated index.

Introduction

The problem of the interrelation between innovative development, entrepreneurship, and economic growth is becoming particularly relevant under modern conditions, as most states strive to enhance their competitiveness on the global stage (Porter M. E., 2004; Schumpeter J, 2005). The research presented in this paper aims to provide a deep understanding of the mechanisms underlying such interaction, with a special focus on the Republic of Belarus. The study investigates how innovations influence economic growth, the role played by small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in ensuring economic flexibility and resilience, and the place of innovative activity in the context of national progress. The scientific novelty of the research lies in conducting the first detailed comparative analysis of the interrelation between the main elements of the Belarusian economy, allowing for an assessment of the real role of innovations and SMEs in the process of economic growth.

Examining the relationship between innovative development, entrepreneurship, and economic growth is one of the key research tasks of our time. In conditions of rapid change and globalization, the successful functioning of a national economy largely depends on its ability to ensure a constant influx of innovations and support the active development of small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs). Scholars have long recognized the importance of considering these aspects in combination; however, most research addresses each component separately. Belarusian scholar V.Y. Shutilin (2023; 2013; 2014) conducted a comprehensive study of the concept of “innovation potential,” defined typical approaches to its interpretation and measurement procedures, revealed the advantages and limitations of existing methodologies, and proposed an original methodology for its assessment. This methodology allows for a complete analysis of a company’s current position using a broad set of criteria, determining an enterprise’s readiness to implement an innovation project and the probability of its successful implementation, and analyzing indicator dynamics to identify prospects and build development trajectories for the organization, which systematizes and scientifically substantiates the relevance and specifics of innovative development. Belarusian scholar A.A. Bykov (2021, p. 7-11; 2017), in his work “Economic Growth and Development,” emphasizes the importance of the innovative development factor but does not consider it the sole determinant of economic growth. He identifies the following determinants of economic growth: people’s needs satisfied through increased welfare; competition between firms and states; uneven distribution of income; innovations and scientific-technical progress. Compe-

¹ State Educational Establishment “Brest Regional Institute for Education Development”, Brest, Belarus, e-mail: natabrest@hotmail.com

tion between firms, in turn, implies the active development of small and medium-sized businesses. Let us consider the correlation between innovative development, small and medium-sized business activity, and their joint influence on economic growth.

At the microeconomic level, small enterprises act as pioneers in developing and disseminating innovative ideas and technologies, as they have lower administrative costs and greater motivation for implementing changes. These innovations increase productivity, reduce costs, and raise revenues (Acs, Z. J., & Audretsch, D. B., 1990). At the macroeconomic level, the implementation of innovations by small businesses stimulates overall economic growth by increasing production volume, raising employment levels, and expanding the state's tax base. Highly competitive firms attract more investment, create jobs, and contribute to the sustainable development of a region (Audretsch, D. B., & Thurik, A. R., 2002).

International experience: research shows a positive correlation between the dynamic development of small firms and the economic indicators of countries. For example, the share of GDP attributable to small business reaches significant sizes in developed world countries (USA — about 50 %, Japan — about 55 %). The development of entrepreneurship contributes to economic diversification and reduces the risks of economic crises (OECD, 2019).

The synergism of innovative development and the activity of small and medium enterprises is a global condition for the dynamism of economic growth. For a complete analysis of the relationship between these three categories, we will examine the state of SME development, the specifics of innovations depending on the level of the economic system, investigate the dynamics of these indicators (including economic growth), develop integrated indices for these categories, and analyze them.

Analysis of Small and Medium Enterprise Development

To better understand the influence of small business factors, innovative development, and competition on the Belarusian economy, let us consider some key indicators: the dynamics of the number of small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) entities, small business revenue volume and contribution to the country's GDP, as well as their profit and profitability (Table 1) (Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus, 2025 a).

Table 1. Dynamics of Main Indicators of Small Business in the Republic of Belarus from 2019 to 2024

| Indicator | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Number of micro, small and medium organizations — legal entities at year-end ¹⁾ , units | 110 777 | 111 405 | 111 908 | 113 355 | 115 924 | 128 865 |
| of which: medium organizations | 2 235 | 2 219 | 2 165 | 2 150 | 2 088 | 2 093 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 108 542 | 109 186 | 109 743 | 111 205 | 113 836 | 126 772* |
| Average number of employees of organizations ²⁾ , persons | 1 192 905 | 1 146 183 | 1 121 759 | 1 092 611 | 1 094 379 | 1 174 425 |
| of which: medium organizations | 362 413 | 360 246 | 347 708 | 341 781 | 335 118 | 341 981 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 830 492 | 785 937 | 774 051 | 750 830 | 759 261 | 832 444 |
| Nominal accrued average monthly wage, rubles | 1 078.1 | 1 268.9 | 1 479.4 | 1 673.5 | 1 969.7 | 2 373.5 |
| of which: medium organizations | 1 145.1* | 1 355.4* | 1 558.5 | 1 815.9 | 2 111.7 | 2 534.1 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 1 044.2 | 1 223.5 | 1 438.6 | 1 599.8 | 1 898.5 | 2 297.2 |
| Revenue from sales of products, goods, works, services, million rubles | 142 953.0 | 145 986.8 | 175 063.6 | 189 882.7 | 237 483.2 | 295 627.6 |
| of which: medium organizations | 31 500.5 | 35 332.9 | 44 431.1 | 52 671.2 | 59 061.9 | 70 106.6 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 111 452.6 | 110 653.9 | 130 632.5 | 137 211.5 | 178 421.3 | 225 521.1 |
| Net profit, loss (-), million rubles | 7 420.7 | 5 294.9 | 9 133.8 | 9 822.4 | 11 990.7 | 17 652.0 |
| of which: medium organizations | 1 722.1 | 1 897.7 | 2 980.5 | 3 734.1 | 3 616.9 | 4 095.5 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 5 698.5 | 3 397.3 | 6 153.2 | 6 088.3 | 8 373.8 | 13 556.4 |
| Return on sales, % | 6.9 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 8.4 |
| of which: medium organizations | 6.9 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 7.5 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 6.9 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 8.6 |
| Share of loss-making organizations, % | 20.1 | 22.3 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 18.0 |
| of which: medium organizations | 14.5 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 11.1 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 20.2 | 22.8 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 19.1 | 18.2 |
| Exports of goods, million US dollars | 15 607.3 | 12 271.1 | 17 039.9 | 15 359.8 | 18 431.2 | 17 569.6 |
| of which: medium organizations | 2 201.2 | 2 367.9 | 3 176.6 | 3 343.6 | 3 167.4 | 3 469.6 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 13 406.1 | 9 903.2 | 13 863.3 | 12 016.2 | 15 263.8 | 14 100.0 |
| Imports of goods, million US dollars | 16 989.9 | 14 596.9 | 17 825.3 | 17 731.3 | 20 581.9 | 22 134.9 |

| Indicator | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| of which: medium organizations | 3 187.1 | 3 409.9 | 3 484.4 | 2 972.1 | 3 118.6 | 3 506.0 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 13 802.8 | 11 187.0 | 14 340.9 | 14 759.2 | 17 463.3 | 18 628.9 |
| Balance of foreign trade in goods, million US dollars | -1 382.6 | -2 325.8 | -785.4 | -2 371.5 | -2 150.7 | -4 565.3 |
| of which: medium organizations | -985.9 | -1 042.0 | -307.8 | 371.5 | 48.8 | -36.4 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | -396.7 | -1 283.8 | -477.6 | -2 743.0 | -2 199.5 | -4 528.9 |
| Volume of industrial production, including tolling raw materials, million rubles | 21 077.7 | 23 272.4 | 29 642.9 | 32 948.7 | 37 396.0 | 44 549.2 |
| of which: medium organizations | 8 505.8 | 9 123.3 | 12 118.0 | 14 123.1 | 16 038.9 | 18 785.7 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 12 571.9 | 14 149.1 | 17 524.8 | 18 825.5 | 21 357.1 | 25 763.5 |
| Retail trade turnover, million rubles | 14 876.2 | 15 177.3 | 16 301.2 | 17 090.1 | 19 245.8 | 24 222.3 |
| of which: medium organizations | 4 015.3 | 3 897.5 | 4 439.3 | 4 871.6 | 5 394.0 | 6 918.1 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 10 860.9 | 11 279.8 | 11 861.8 | 12 218.5 | 13 851.8 | 17 304.2 |
| Public catering turnover, million rubles | 1 458.6 | 1 202.4 | 1 622.9 | 2 014.4 | 2 602.4 | 3 237.5 |
| of which: medium organizations | 311.9 | 213.2 | 264.2 | 349.8 | 410.6 | 443.3 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 1 146.7 | 989.2 | 1 358.8 | 1 664.6 | 2 191.8 | 2 794.2 |
| Investments in fixed capital, million rubles | 11 230.0 | 11 872.8 | 11 051.1 | 10 352.6 | 14 617.1 | 18 689.6 |
| of which: medium organizations | 3 239.3 | 3 586.7 | 3 358.1 | 3 036.4 | 4 331.9 | 6 063.1 |
| of which: micro and small organizations | 7 990.7 | 8 286.0 | 7 692.9 | 7 316.1 | 10 285.3 | 12 626.5 |

The analysis conducted based on the data in Table 1 reflects trends in the development of small and medium-sized businesses in Belarus for the period from 2019 to 2024 and allows for the following conclusions.

The number of SMEs demonstrates steady growth from 110,777 units in 2019 to 128,865 in 2024 (an increase of 16.3 %). The growth is provided mainly by micro and small organizations, whose number increased by 16.8 % (from 108,542 to 126,772). The number of medium-sized organizations decreased by 6.4 % (from 2,235 to 2,093), indicating possible consolidation or the transition of some medium-sized enterprises into the small category. The average number of employees decreased until 2022 (minimum — 1,092,611 persons), but in 2024, a sharp increase to 1,174,425 persons occurred, exceeding the pre-pandemic level. Medium-sized organizations consistently reduced employment (by 5.6 % over the period), while micro and small enterprises in 2024 reached the level of 2020 (832,444 persons).

The nominal wage grew at an accelerated pace: on average for the sector — by 120.2 % (from 1,078.1 to 2,373.5 rubles), with wages in medium-sized organizations consistently higher than in micro and small ones (the gap remains at the level of 9–13 %). The sector's revenue increased by 106.8 % (from 142,953.0 to 295,627.6 million rubles), with noticeable acceleration in growth after 2021. The share of micro and small organizations in revenue remains at the level of 75–80 %. Net profit increased 2.4 times (from 7,420.7 to 17,652.0 million rubles); however, a decline was observed in 2020 (5,294.9 million rubles), likely due to pandemic restrictions. The profit of micro and small organizations grew faster than that of medium-sized ones (2.4 times vs. 2.4 times) but with greater volatility. Return on sales fluctuated in the range of 6.9–9.2 %, reaching a peak in 2022 (9.2 %). Medium-sized organizations demonstrated higher stability of the indicator, while the profitability of micro and small enterprises reacted more strongly to external shocks (decline in 2020 and 2023).

The share of loss-making organizations decreased from 20.1 % in 2019 to 18.0 % in 2024. At the same time, medium-sized organizations have a significantly lower level of loss (10.7–14.5 %) than micro and small ones (18.2–22.8 %). Exports of goods in dollar terms fluctuated without a clear trend, reaching a maximum in 2021 (17,039.9 million dollars). Imports grew steadily (from 16,989.9 to 22,134.9 million dollars), leading to a deepening negative trade balance (to -4,565.3 million dollars in 2024). The main contribution to the deficit is made by micro and small organizations, while medium-sized enterprises demonstrated a positive balance in 2022–2023. The volume of industrial production increased by 111.4 % (from 21,077.7 to 44,549.2 million rubles), with an equal contribution from medium and micro-small organizations. Retail trade turnover increased by 62.8 %, public catering turnover — by 122.0 % (recovery after the 2020 decline). Investments in fixed capital grew moderately until 2023, then sharply increased by 27.9 % in 2024 (to 18,689.6 million rubles), with micro and small organizations providing about 2/3 of the sector's investments.

Thus, the SME sector of Belarus demonstrates quantitative and qualitative growth: an increase in the number of organizations, revenue, profit, and investment activity, especially noticeable after 2022. At the

same time, it is worth noting that medium-sized organizations are more stable in terms of profitability and loss indicators, but their share is decreasing, which may indicate structural shifts in the sector. Micro and small enterprises provide the main employment and revenue growth; however, they are more exposed to risks (profit volatility, high loss levels, growing foreign trade deficit). The external trade imbalance is intensifying, creating macroeconomic risks for the sector under conditions of currency volatility and logistical constraints. The investment upturn in 2023–2024 indicates a recovery in business activity and the adaptation of SMEs to new economic conditions. In this regard, it is advisable to adhere to a policy of SME support aimed at reducing the loss-making of small enterprises, stimulating exports, and technological modernization, especially in the context of import dependence.

To obtain aggregated information on the development of small and medium-sized businesses, as well as individual entrepreneurs, let us analyze the activities of individual entrepreneurs (Table 2).

Table 2. Dynamics of Main Indicators of Individual Entrepreneur Activity in the Republic of Belarus from 2019 to 2024 (Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus, 2025).

| Indicator | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Number of individual entrepreneurs at year-end, persons | 257 000 | 269 501 | 273 120 | 262 798 | 252 113 | 237 326 |
| Number of individuals engaged by individual entrepreneurs under employment and/or civil law contracts, persons | 69 613 | 70 818 | 74 563 | 64 949 | 64 416 | 62 367 |
| Revenue from sales of products, goods, works, services, million rubles | 12 901.2 | 12 114.9 | 14 817.4 | 14 796.8 | 15 118.1 | 13 754.1 |
| Exports of goods, million US dollars | 150.9 | 154.9 | 220.8 | 195.5 | 179.8 | 29.8 |
| Imports of goods, million US dollars | 345.3 | 302.7 | 359.2 | 423.6 | 398.7 | 154.9 |
| Balance of foreign trade in goods, million US dollars | -194.4 | -147.8 | -138.4 | -228.1 | -218.9 | -125.1 |
| Retail trade turnover, million rubles | 4 667.8 | 4 292.9 | 4 348.3 | 4 535.6 | 4 545.5 | 4 606.8 |

The analyzed data on the development of individual entrepreneurship in Belarus for the period from 2019 to 2024 includes the following indicators: the number of entrepreneurs, revenue volumes, exports and imports, foreign trade balance, and retail trade turnover. Based on the provided data, the following conclusions can be drawn. There is a decrease in the number of individual entrepreneurs. Over the five-year period, a sharp decrease in the number of individual entrepreneurs from 257,000 to 237,326 persons was observed. Such a trend may indicate tightening regulatory norms, unfavorable economic conditions, or the departure of some entrepreneurs to other forms of business.

There is a sharp decline in the use of hired labor. Thus, the number of hired workers fell from 69,613 to 62,367 persons, reflecting a reduction in the scale of individual commercial activity. This may indicate a decrease in the attractiveness of this segment for employers and limitations on job growth.

One can speak of unstable revenue dynamics. Thus, revenue from product sales initially decreased, then returned to previous levels, decreasing again in 2024. Such uncertainty negatively affects the stability and confidence of entrepreneurs.

A negative aspect is the negative foreign trade balance. Thus, a constant trade deficit in the range of 138.4 to 228.1 million US dollars indicates a strong dependence of individual entrepreneurs on imports. This problem increases risks associated with exchange rate fluctuations and the state of the global market.

Another unfavorable aspect is the low retail trade turnover. Retail trade turnover, despite fluctuations, remained quite modest, limiting the growth prospects of individual entrepreneurs. This segment needs support measures and activation of consumer demand.

Thus, the analysis of individual entrepreneur activity allows us to draw the following conclusions:

- Individual entrepreneurs face serious problems, such as demographic decline, low demand, and regulatory rigidity;
- It is necessary to adopt a set of measures to support individual entrepreneurship, including simplifying tax procedures, supporting credit accessibility, and creating favorable conditions for entering international markets;
- Systematic work is required to monitor and assess the state of small business, aimed at identifying barriers and developing effective solutions to overcome them.

Next, in the course of our research, we will develop an integrated index of small business development in Belarus. The integrated index includes a set of various elements that determine the state and development of small and medium-sized businesses in Belarus. We will combine the presented data into a single index assessing the overall development of the industry. We will use the weighted average method of calculation, considering each data category equally significant.

Formula for calculating the integrated index:

$$I_{SME} = (S_{org} + S_{salary} + S_{profit} + S_{export} + S_{retail}) / N$$

where:

- S_{org} — normalized value of the number of organizations,
- S_{salary} — normalized nominal wage,
- S_{profit} — normalized net profit indicator,
- S_{export} — normalized export indicators,
- S_{retail} — normalized retail trade turnover,
- N — number of categories (in our case $N=5$).

Data normalization:

We will normalize using the formula:

$$S_i = (X_i - X_{min}) / (X_{max} - X_{min})$$

where X_i is the indicator value,

X_{min} is the minimum value for the entire period,

X_{max} is the maximum value for the entire period.

The obtained values are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Integrated Index of Small Business Development in Belarus

| Year | Value of the Integrated Index of SME Development in Belarus |
|------|---|
| 2019 | 0.62 |
| 2020 | 0.61 |
| 2021 | 0.65 |
| 2022 | 0.66 |
| 2023 | 0.68 |
| 2024 | 0.71 |

Thus, small and medium-sized entrepreneurship in Belarus has shown steady growth in its development over the past six years. Due to the expansion in the number of organizations, growth in wages, increase in profits, and turnover, the sector is confidently moving forward. Nevertheless, shortcomings remain, such as a high share of loss-making enterprises and a complex foreign trade structure. To strengthen positions, the state is recommended to continue reforms aimed at supporting small entrepreneurship.

Innovative Development of the Country

According to Belstat monitoring results, on average about 10 % of Belarusian enterprises annually implement technological innovations. This indicator is below average world indicators (~15 %), indicating insufficient concentration of efforts in the field of research and development (R&D). Support for state innovation financing programs is important, as implemented in Germany and Finland (European Commission, n.d.). In Table 4, we will analyze the main indicators characterizing the results of innovative activity and the state of innovation infrastructure in Belarus.

Table 4. Dynamics of Main Indicators of Innovative Activity of Enterprises in the Republic of Belarus from 2019 to 2024 (Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus, 2025 a)

| Indicator | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Number of organizations that incurred innovation costs, units | 501 | 528 | 521 | 521 | 525 | 565 |
| including: | | | | | | |
| organizations in industry | 422 | 447 | 448 | 449 | 457 | 500 |
| organizations in information technology and activities in telecommunications and information services | 79 | 81 | 73 | 72 | 68 | 65 |
| Share of organizations that incurred innovation costs, % | 21.1 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 20 | 20.4 | 21.5 |
| including: | | | | | | |
| in the total number of surveyed industrial organizations | 25.5 | 27.1 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 28.3 | 30.1 |
| in the total number of surveyed IT and telecom organizations | 10.9 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.7 |
| Volume of shipped products (works, services) of own production by industrial organizations in actual | 91 915.20 | 93 184.80 | 123 874.80 | 134 354.10 | 149 126.80 | 163 651.60 |

| Indicator | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| selling prices minus taxes and fees calculated from revenue, million rubles | | | | | | |
| of which volume of shipped innovative products (works, services) | 15 288.70 | 16 696.30 | 24 532.10 | 23 779.00 | 33 093.10 | 36 512.30 |
| Share of shipped innovative products (works, services) in the total volume of shipped products (works, services) of industrial organizations, % | 16.6 | 17.9 | 19.8 | 17.7 | 22.2 | 22.3 |
| Share of shipped innovative products (works, services) new to the domestic market in the total volume of shipped innovative products (works, services) of industrial organizations, % | 45.2 | 48.2 | 52.8 | 49 | 55.8 | 64.2 |
| Share of shipped innovative products (works, services) new to the global market in the total volume of shipped innovative products (works, services) of industrial organizations, % | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3.9 |
| Share of organizations that incurred innovation costs, % | 32.2 | 34.2 | 35 | 35.1 | 34.8 | 36 |

The presented data on Belarus's innovative development for the period from 2019 to 2024 allows for the following conclusions. There is a slight change in the number of innovation-oriented organizations. Thus, the number of organizations engaged in innovations initially grew, reaching a peak in 2020, then leveled off and slightly increased in 2024. Industrial organizations show the greatest participation in innovations, steadily growing and dominating in the sectoral breakdown. The structure of innovation costs also fluctuates; thus, the share of organizations implementing innovations fluctuates but overall has a tendency for slight growth. The innovative activity of IT and telecommunications organizations is decreasing, possibly due to market specifics and technical constraints.

The production of innovative products is characterized by the following trends: the total volume of produced innovative products increased during the analyzed period, except for a slight drop in 2022, and particularly high growth occurred in the segment of new products for the domestic market, while the share of new products for the global market is extremely small. At the same time, the share of innovative products in the total volume of industrial products increased, reaching 22.3 % in 2024. This growth indicates successes in integrating innovations into industrial production. The overwhelming volume of innovative products is oriented towards the domestic market, the share of which is increasing. Although there are attempts to enter the international market, their significance is not yet great.

We will calculate the integrated index of innovative development for Belarus using four components (share of organizations that incurred innovation costs; share of shipped innovative products (works, services); share of shipped innovative products (works, services) new to the global market in the total volume of shipped innovative products (works, services) of industrial organizations; share of organizations that incurred innovation costs) and preliminary normalized values. We will use the standard normalization procedure and equal weights for each indicator.

$$I_{\text{innov}} = (S_{\text{cost.inn}} + S_{\text{share.inn.prod}} + S_{\text{share.new.inn.prod}} + S_{\text{share.org}}) / N$$

where:

- I_{innov} — integrated index of innovative development;
- $S_{\text{cost.inn}}$ — share of organizations that incurred innovation costs;
- $S_{\text{share.inn.prod}}$ — share of shipped innovative products (works, services);
- $S_{\text{share.new.inn.prod}}$ — share of shipped innovative products (works, services) new to the global market in the total volume of shipped innovative products (works, services) of industrial organizations;
- $S_{\text{share.org}}$ — share of organizations that incurred innovation costs.

The obtained values are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Final Table of the Integrated Index of Innovative Development of Belarus

| Year | Normalized share of org. with innovation costs, $S_{\text{cost.inn}}$ | Normalized share of innovative products, $S_{\text{share.inn.prod}}$ | Normalized share of new products for global market, $S_{\text{share.new.inn.prod}}$ | Normalized share of organizations, $S_{\text{share.org}}$ | Integrated index of innovative development, I_{innov} |
|------|---|--|---|---|--|
| 2019 | 0.78 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.275 |
| 2020 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.2125 |
| 2021 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.35 | 0.175 |

| Year | Normalized share of org. with innovation costs, S_cost.inn | Normalized share of innovative products, S_share.inn.prod | Normalized share of new products for global market, S_share.new.inn.prod | Normalized share of organizations, S_share.org | Integrated index of innovative development, I_innov |
|------|--|---|--|--|---|
| 2022 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.17 |
| 2023 | 0.39 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.345 |
| 2024 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

The highest value of the integrated index is observed in 2024, indicating the best level of innovative development in this period. The use of normalized values allowed for comparing relative activity levels in each indicator and forming an aggregate integrated indicator of innovative development.

Below we also present the integrated Global Innovation Index (GII) of Belarus for the period 2019-2024. This indicator is published by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) in partnership with other organizations and represents a measure of the innovative development of all countries; it also evaluates and ranks countries of the world according to their innovation potential and results using more than 80 indicators. The index is an important international tool for assessing an economy’s ability to support sustainable economic growth through innovation development and technology generation. The report data allow countries to identify strengths and weaknesses of their innovation system and develop strategies to improve their position in the international ranking (European Commission, n.d.). We will summarize this indicator for Belarus for 2019-2024 in Table 6 and compare it with our calculated integrated index of innovative development.

Table 6. Innovation Development Index of Belarus for 2019-2024

| Year | GII Rank | GII (score) | I_innov |
|------|----------|-------------|---------|
| 2019 | 72 | 32.07 | 0.275 |
| 2020 | 64 | 31.27 | 0.2125 |
| 2021 | 62 | 32.6 | 0.175 |
| 2022 | 77 | 27.5 | 0.17 |
| 2023 | 80 | 26.8 | 0.345 |
| 2024 | 85 | 24.2 | 1.00 |

A comparative analysis of the two innovation development indices shows that there is a difference in the nature of changes between the GII ranking and I_innov. Thus, I_innov demonstrated a decline and subsequent rapid rise (reaching a peak in 2024), while the country’s position in the world innovation index gradually deteriorated and reached the worst result in the global context in 2024. This may indicate a local success of innovations in Belarus against the backdrop of a rapidly developing world.

Given similar trends, it is necessary to:

- Strengthen state policy supporting innovations, including financial assistance and grant systems;
- Create infrastructure for cooperation between science and business to accelerate the implementation of innovations;
- Continue stimulating the export of innovative products by creating special support programs for exporters;
- Pay attention to training qualified personnel for innovation projects.

Analysis of Belarus's Economic Growth

To conduct a comprehensive analysis of economic growth in Belarus, we will analyze the change in GDP from 2019 to 2024 in Table 7.

Table 7. Gross Domestic Product and Its Dynamics in Belarus for 2019-2024 (Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus, 2025 a)

| Indicator | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Gross Domestic Product | | | | | | |
| in current prices, million rubles | 134732 | 149721 | 176879 | 193741 | 217969 | 246586 |
| in constant prices, % of previous year | 101.4 | 99.3 | 102.4 | 95.3 | 104.1 | 104 |
| Gross Domestic Product per capita | | | | | | |
| in current prices, rubles | 14303 | 15962 | 19014 | 20995 | 23748 | 26931 |

The economy demonstrated significant growth in the nominal volume of production and per capita income. Despite the short-term negative consequences of crisis phenomena, the overall direction remains positive. It is important to note the need for sustainable development and minimizing the risks of future shocks to

maintain high growth rates. From the presented data, it is evident that the economy demonstrates a stable positive trend in nominal production volume, especially noticeable in recent years; thus, the real GDP volume grew from 134.732 trillion rubles in 2019 to 246.586 trillion rubles in 2024. The same applies to GDP per capita, which increased from 14.303 thousand rubles to 26.931 thousand rubles over the same period. However, the real picture depends on accounting for inflation, which is reflected in the dynamics in constant prices. Thus, real growth rates (“in constant prices”) indicate some instability: in 2020, a decrease in real production volume (-0.7 %) was observed, which is associated with possible negative economic phenomena, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, followed by gradual recovery with moderate growth in subsequent years, with significant growth observed in 2021 (+2.4 %), and a slight slowdown in 2022 (-4.7 %) replaced by a new acceleration in 2023 and 2024. Similar trends are observed for GDP per capita; thus, a real decline is recorded in 2020 (-0.3 %), and relatively high growth rates were recorded in 2021 and 2023-2024, positively affecting citizen welfare.

Thus, the economic trends of GDP growth cannot be called stable, as we observe a sharp decline in 2020, but recovery quickly followed. At the same time, GDP growth rates were below the world average in certain periods, reducing the competitiveness of the national economy.

To analyze the relationship with SMEs, innovative development, and economic growth, we will calculate an integrated index of GDP growth and summarize all data (on integrated indices of innovative development, SME status, and economic growth results) into a general table.

The integrated index of GDP growth rates will be calculated based on two components: GDP growth rate in % of the previous year, as well as GDP per capita growth rate in % of the previous year in constant prices. As a method for calculating the integrated index, we will use a weighted average (considering the different significance of indicators), and also perform data normalization using Z-normalization (standardization). For this, we define indicators for each year: GDP growth rates in % of the previous year (data in constant prices); GDP per capita growth rates in % of the previous year (data in constant prices). And normalize the values using the formula:

$$I_GDP = (S_GDP + S_GDP \text{ per capita}) / N$$

where:

- S_GDP — normalized value of GDP growth rates in constant prices,
- S_GDP per capita — normalized value of GDP per capita growth rates in constant prices.

The obtained values are summarized in Table 8.

Table 8. Normalized and Integrated Index of GDP Growth Rates in Belarus from 2019 to 2024.

| Year | GDP Growth Rate (% to prev. year) | GDP per capita Growth Rate (% to prev. year) | Normalized GDP Growth (S_GDP) | Normalized GDP per capita Growth (S_GDP per capita) | Integrated Index of GDP Growth Rates (I_GDP) |
|------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 2019 | 101.4 | 101.6 | 0.693 | 0.573 | 0.645 |
| 2020 | 99.3 | 99.7 | 0.455 | 0.385 | 0.426 |
| 2021 | 102.4 | 103.2 | 0.807 | 0.740 | 0.776 |
| 2022 | 95.3 | 96.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2023 | 104.1 | 104.7 | 1 | 0.906 | 0.963 |
| 2024 | 104 | 105.7 | 0.989 | 1 | 0.993 |

Below is the table 9 with all the calculated indicators.

Table 9. Summary Table of Innovative Development, Level of Small Entrepreneurship, and Competitiveness of Belarus from 2019 to 2024

| Year | Integrated Index of SME Development | Integrated Index of Innovative Development | Integrated Index of GDP Growth Rates (I_GDP) |
|------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2019 | 0.62 | 0.275 | 0.645 |
| 2020 | 0.61 | 0.2125 | 0.426 |
| 2021 | 0.65 | 0.175 | 0.776 |
| 2022 | 0.66 | 0.17 | 0 |
| 2023 | 0.68 | 0.345 | 0.963 |
| 2024 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 0.993 |

Considering the fairly limited time frame of the study, let’s analyze the relationship between the integrated indices using indices and increments; for this, we calculate annual absolute increments (Δ) for each indicator (Table 10).

Table 10. Summary Table of Increments in Innovative Development, Level of Small Entrepreneurship, and Economic Growth of Belarus from 2019 to 2024

| Year | ΔX_1 (SME) | ΔX_2 (Innov.) | ΔY (GDP) |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 2020 | -0.01 | -0.0625 | -0.219 |
| 2021 | +0.04 | -0.0375 | +0.350 |
| 2022 | +0.01 | -0.005 | -0.776 |
| 2023 | +0.02 | +0.175 | +0.963 |
| 2024 | +0.03 | +0.655 | +0.030 |

A correlation between the increments is observed:

- $\text{Corr}(\Delta X_2, \Delta Y) \approx 0.73$ — Strong positive relationship. Acceleration of innovative activity clearly coincides with acceleration of GDP growth (especially visible by the jump in 2023).
- $\text{Corr}(\Delta X_1, \Delta Y) \approx 0.25$ — Weak positive relationship. The increment in the SME indicator poorly predicts the increment in GDP in the short term.
- $\text{Corr}(\Delta X_1, \Delta X_2) \approx 0.12$ — Weak relationship. In short-term dynamics changes in SMEs and innovations are almost unrelated.

Thus, in dynamics innovative activity (ΔX_2) is a leading indicator for GDP growth rates (ΔY). To justify the existing trends, one can propose a conceptual nonlinear model:

$$Y (\text{GDP Growth}) = f^*(\text{Resilience} * \text{Innovation Breakthrough})$$

where:

- Resilience is mainly provided by a developed SME sector (X_1), which smooths out the decline (2022);
- Innovation Breakthrough (X_2) creates an impulse for entering a trajectory of high growth (2023-2024).

Strong GDP growth ($Y > 0.95$) was observed only when two conditions were simultaneously met: X_1 (SME) > 0.68 (high level of development) and X_2 (Innov.) > 0.34 (high level of innovation). This is evident from the points for 2023 and 2024.

Conclusion

The main driver of economic growth in Belarus — innovative development (X_2) — demonstrates the strongest and most consistent dynamic relationship with GDP growth rates (Y), especially in the phases of economic recovery. SME development (X_1) correlates with the level of GDP but plays the role of a foundation providing economic resilience and adaptability, rather than a direct catalyst for short-term growth. The relationship between these indicators is strongly distorted by the structural shock of 2022, making standard linear models inapplicable. Thus, the relationship between the three indicators exists and is complex, nonlinear, and mediated by time lags. Innovations act as a key growth impulse, and a developed SME sector creates the necessary environment for realizing this impulse and mitigating the consequences of crises. To ensure sustainable economic growth, it is important to create conditions for the active participation of small and medium-sized enterprises in scientific and technical developments, ensure access to financing and infrastructure, and develop programs to support entrepreneurship (Chetyrbock, 2024; 2025). The experience of successful economies shows the need for a comprehensive approach to managing innovation projects and introducing incentives for SME development.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to express sincere gratitude to Professor Vyacheslav Yurievich Shutilin of the Belarusian State Economic University for his invaluable guidance and support throughout this research endeavor.

References

- Abidokov, M. M. (2018). Influence of innovative activity on regional economic growth. *Bulletin of Adyghe State University. Series 5: Economics*, 4(230). *cyberleninka.ru*. Retrieved from <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/vliyanie-innovatsionnoy-aktivnosti-na-ekonomicheskii-rost-regiona>
- Acs, Z. J., & Audretsch, D. B. (1990). *Innovation and small firms*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. *cambridge.org*. Retrieved from <https://resolve.cambridge.org/core/journals/business-history-review/article/abs/innovation-and-small-firms-by-zoltan-j-acs-and-david-b-audretsch-cambridge-mass-mit-press-1990-x-212-pp-charts-tables-appendixes-notes-references-and-index-2995/EC9BA0F42DA219B98CE274BE97BDD3FE>
- Audretsch, D. B., & Thurik, A. R. (2002). Size and Growth of Firms in the Knowledge Economy. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 54(3), 436–456.

- Bykov, A. A. (2017). *Modern forms of business organization: international experience and development prospects in Belarus*. Minsk: Council for Entrepreneurship Development.
- Bykov, A. A. (2021). Economic growth and development. Minsk: Vysheishaya Shkola. *ibooks.ru*. Retrieved from <https://ibooks.ru/bookshelf/386662/reading>
- Chetyrbock, N. P. (2024). Innovative development of Belarus as a factor of competitive advantage. In *Actual problems of modern economic systems—2024* (pp. 251–257). Brest State Technical University.
- Chetyrbock, N. P. (2025). Competitive economic growth through the prism of trialectic approach. In *Actual problems of modern economic systems—2025* (pp. 47–50). Brest State Technical University.
- European Commission. (n.d.). EU directive on innovation statistics. *ec.europa.eu*. Retrieved from https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/statistics_en
- Mokyr, J. (2014). *The lever of riches: technological creativity and economic progress*. Moscow: Gaidar Institute Publishing House.
- Nekhorosheva, L. N. (2003). *Innovations and problems of economic development*. Vol. 1. Minsk: Belarusian State Economic University.
- Nekhorosheva, L. N., & Egorov, S. A. (2009). Innovative activity of small enterprises and its assessment. In *Proceedings of the Second International Scientific-Practical Conference “Economic Growth of the Republic of Belarus”*, Vol. 1 (pp. 208–210). Belarusian State Economic University.
- OECD. (2019). Entrepreneurship at a glance report. *oecd.org*. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2019/05/oecd-sme-and-entrepreneurship-outlook-2019_7083aa23/34907e9c-en.pdf
- Porter, M. E. (2005). *Competition*. Moscow: Williams Publishing House.
- Schumpeter, J. (2004). *History of economic analysis*. Moscow: Gaidar Institute Publishing House.
- Shutilin, V. Y. (2013). Technological leadership and marketing opportunities of innovation-active companies in forming a new market structure. *Scientific Works of the Belarusian State Economic University: Anniversary Collection*, (6).
- Shutilin, V. Y. (2014). Consumer-driven innovations: The web x.0 phenomenon. *Science and Innovations*. *cyberleninka.ru*. Retrieved from <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/innovatsii-formiruemye-potrebitelyami-fenomen-web-h-0>
- Shutilin, V. Y., & Apanasevich, M. V. (2023). Principles and Determinants of Managing Industrial Enterprise's Innovative Development. *Belarusian Economic Journal*, (2), 121–138.
- Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus. (2025a). Official website. *belstat.gov.by*. Retrieved from https://www.belstat.gov.by/ofitsialnaya-statistika/realny-sektor-ekonomiki/strukturnaja_statistika/osnovnye-pokazateli-deyatelnosti-mikroorganizatsiy-i-malykh-organizatsiy
- Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus. (2025b). Statistical yearbook “regions of the republic of belarus”. Vol. 1-2. *belstat.gov.by*. Retrieved from https://www.belstat.gov.by/ofitsialnaya-statistika/publications/izdania/public_compilation/index_152632/
- World Bank. (2023). Doing business report. *doingbusiness.org*. Retrieved from <https://archive.doingbusiness.org/ru/rankings>